UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,496	03/31/2004	03/31/2004 Yatin Hoskote		6445
45209 INTEL/BSTZ	7590 10/20/200	EXAMINER		
	KOLOFF TAYLOR & AD PARKWAY	HARPER, KEVIN C		
· -	, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
			10/814,496		HOSKOTE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Kevin C. Har	per	2416			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ars on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE VER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE INSIDE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE INSIDE	MAILING DA- s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS  (a). In no event,  I apply and will exeause the applicat	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be tin pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>08 .lul</i> v	v 2008					
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
3)		<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
٠,٠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) <u>22-30</u> is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>22-50</u> is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 12-16</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · —	Claim(s) <u>6-11 and 17-21</u> is/are obje							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri		election requ	irement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner						
•	-			objected to by the f	Examiner.			
٠٠/	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
				•	, ,	FR 1.121(d).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	<b>二</b>	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/814,496 Page 2

Art Unit: 2416

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 8, 2008 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Hooper.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hooper (US 7,181,742)

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

1. Regarding claims 1 and 12, Hooper discloses a method comprising buffering packet header and payload data corresponding to several inbound TCP packets received at a destination machine in an inbound buffer (Fig. 5, item 158; col. 2, line 18 and 30-34) and performing TCP

input processing of the packet header and payload data that is buffered via a multi-threaded hardware engine (col. 2, lines 4-8) where the threads are concurrently executed by the engine (col. 2, lines 25-26).

- 2. Regarding claims 2 and 13, the thread processing is via a scheduler (col. 2, lines 3-5).
- 3. Regarding claims 3 and 14, the threads' states are handled in cycles (col. 1, line 60 through col. 2, line 3).
- 4. Regarding claims 4 and 15, the engine comprises a TOE (col. 6, line 2).
- 5. Regarding claims 5 and 16, the payload data is transferred to host memory for TCP processing (col. 2, lines 30-45; col. 5, line 64 through col. 6, line 2).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-11 and 17-21 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 22-30 are allowed.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Trost, can be reached at 571-272-7872. The centralized fax number for the

Application/Control Number: 10/814,496 Page 4

Art Unit: 2416

Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax

number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

/Kevin C. Harper/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2616

October 13, 2008